

Sinclair
Broadcasting's
decision to have
their stations air
an anti-Kerry
documentary just
before our
presidential
election is a great
example of why far
reaching media
consolidation should
be illegal.

Sinclair uses the
public airwaves free
of charge, and is
obligated by law to
serve the public
interest. The way I
understand the law,
since they are
devoting, so much
time to Kerry (even
though negative)
they are required to
give an equal amount
of air time to Bush,
which we can be sure
won't be negative.

How fair is that?
It's more important
that we see real
people from our own
communities and more
substantive news
about issues that
matter.

Please STOP Sinclair
from harming the
political process.
If Bush wins this
campaign, he should
do it because he won
fair campaign fight
and he is the
people's legitimate
choice... but NOT as
a result of this
proposed rape of our
public
communications and
electoral process.

Sinclair's intended
actions show clearly
that we need to
strengthen media
ownership rules, not
weaken them. Thank
you for your help!